UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/694,037	10/27/2003	Cynthia T. Clague	P-11210.00	3891
27581 MEDTRONIC,	7590 03/11/200 INC.	EXAMINER		
710 MEDTRON	NIC PARKWAY NE	NGUYEN, TUAN VAN		
MIINNEAPOLI	S, MN 55432-9924		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3731	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/11/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/694,037	CLAGUE ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
TUAN V. NGUYEN	3731	

	5/414 V: 14861214	9701	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appear	rs on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 11 February 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AI	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FO	R ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the application, applicant must timely file one of the following reapplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appea for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CF	plies: (1) an amendment, affidavit I (with appeal fee) in compliance v	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
periods:  a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing d	ate of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire late Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b)	isory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the mailing	g date of the final rejectio	n.
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exter under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the sho set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later th may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	nsion and the corresponding amount or ortened statutory period for reply origin	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ite extension fee e action; or (2) as
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliant filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extens Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	ion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, bu  (a) They raise new issues that would require further cons  (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below)  (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bette appeal; and/or	ideration and/or search (see NOT );	E below);	
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a co NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).			OTOL 224)
<ul> <li>4.  The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121</li> <li>5.  Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): _</li> <li>6.  Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allow non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ul>			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  Claim(s) allowed:  Claim(s) objected to:  Claim(s) rejected: 1-6,15-24 and 32-34.  Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 7-14,24-31 and 35-4	ed below or appended.	l be entered and an ex	xplanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE  8. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and swas not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to ove showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary a</li> </ol>	ercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	ıl and/or appellant fails	to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attache	ed.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but of With respect to the argument that Taylor does not included is no fixed cutting blade in Figure b of Taylor's drawings, the of the fixed cutting edge of the proximal trailing side is generally blade have been fully considered but they are not persuas cooperatively with fixed blade 72. Examiner was relied on cutting edge of the proximal trailing side is generally straig the shape of the blades of Shapiro.	s a fixed cutting blade together winerefore, Shapiro as modified by erally straight along the direction ive. Noting that Shapiro already of the teaching of a moving blade ar	th a movable cutting b Taylor fails to disclose of the laterally extend liscloses a moving bla nd a fixedly that have	alde, and there s the limitation ing fixed cutting de 74 a design of the
12.  Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (P	TO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
13. Other:			

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)

/Anhtuan T. Nguyen/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3731

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Part of Paper No. 20090304

Application No.